

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/967,095	09/28/2001	Mark E. Nagel	705581US1	6696
24938	7590 12/23/2004		EXAMINER	
DAIMLERCHRYSLER INTELLECTUAL CAPITAL CORPORATION CIMS 483-02-19			LANEAU, RONALD	
	LER DR EAST		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
AUBURN H	ILLS, MI 48326-2757		3627	
			DATE MAILED: 12/23/2004	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	N
	09/967,095	NAGEL ET AL.	W
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ronald Laneau	3627	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeariod for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence addre	'SS
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS for e, cause the application to become ABANDO	e timely filed days will be considered timely. rom the mailing date of this commoned (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 N	November 2004.		
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This	s action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowated closed in accordance with the practice under a condition.	· ·	•	erits is
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2-4,6-8,12-14 and 17-19</u> is/are pendi	ing in the application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) 2-4,6-8,12-14 and 17-19 is/are reject	ted.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc		e Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37_CFR_1.85(a)	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ction is required if the drawing(s) is	objected to. See 37 CFR	1.121(d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Offi	ice Action or form PTO-	152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(a)-(d) or (f).	
1. Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received in Applic	ation No	
Copies of the certified copies of the price	ority documents have been rece	eived in this National Sta	age
application from the International Burea	u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	t of the certified copies not rece	ived.	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summ	ary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mai	I Date	.0.
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>11112004</u>.) 5) ☐ Notice of Informa 6) ☐ Other:	al Patent Application (PTO-15	i2)
	, — ——·		

Response to Amendment

1. The amendment filed on 11/11/04 has been entered. Claims 1, 5, 9-11, 15-16 are canceled, claims 18 and 19 are added and claims 2-4, 6-8, 12-14 and 17-19 are now pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 2-4, 6 and 12-14, 18 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Barnes et al (US 5,970,475).

As per claims 2-4, 12-14 and 17-19, Barnes et al teach a method of procuring goods and services through a computer based market center system having a website accessible by a plurality of entities via the Internet (see abstract, lines 1-5), including the steps-of-arranging-with a plurality of suppliers, each having an Internet website, to participate in offering goods and services to the entities via the website of the market center system (col. 3, lines 48-55); negotiating with at least a subset of said suppliers prices for their respective goods and services at which said entities can purchase the respective goods and services (col. 4, lines 12-18); allowing said entities to place orders with any of the at least a subset of suppliers for respective goods and services via purchaser websites and the market center website (col. 8, lines 49-55); and allowing said entities an option of obtaining via purchaser websites and the market center website a price quotation from any of the at least a subset of suppliers (col. 8, lines 36-38).

As per claim 6, Barnes et al teach a method the steps providing links on said computer based system to computer based systems of said suppliers having information about said suppliers' goods and services and allowing said entities to access said suppliers' computer based systems via said links on said computer based system to view said information about said suppliers goods and services (col. 7, lines 60-62).

As per claim 12, Barnes et al teach a method wherein information concerning purchases made by and quotes obtained by said entities via said computer based system are stored in a database (col. 8, lines 36-38).

As per claims 13 and 14, Barnes et al teach a method including the steps of a host organization (Bank) paying said suppliers for purchases made from said suppliers via said computer based system by said entities and said host organization (Bank) billing said entities for said purchases, further including the step of said host organization operating said computer based system (col. 3, lines 39-43).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 7, 8, and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Banes et al (US 5,970,475).

As per claims 7 and 8, Barnes et al do not teach a method wherein a plurality of entities having a common relationship comprise a franchise and individuals that are members of a club but the examiner takes the Official notice that since the authorized user is part of an organization making purchase for said organization through electronic commerce it is imperative that the authorized user is a member of said organization which may in turn be a franchise as claimed.

As per claim 17, Barnes et al do not expressly teach a purchasing system that includes means for analyzing transactions to determine if any involve purchases at prices more advantageous than the price for said good/services stored in said database but it would allow a buyer (authorized user) to get the best price every time when making a purchase through the system. The examiner takes the Official notice as such.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed on 11/11/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that Barnes does not teach an "Internet based computerized market center through which buying entities access purchaser websites for entering into transaction." Contrary to applicant's arguments, Barnes does teach an Internet based market center that enables each user within a purchasing organization to use an Intranet connection to access the organization's Intranet server as a means for accessing the supplier's server via an Internet connection by using an Internet browser (see col. 3, lines 20-27). Applicant's arguments are deemed unpersuasive and claims 2-4, 6-8, 12-14 and 17-19 are finally rejected.

Conclusion

7. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ronald Laneau whose telephone number is (703) 305-3973. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri from 8:30am - 6:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Olszewski can be reached on (703) 308-5183. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Mynda Ham 12/20/04 Primary Examiner

Art Unit: 3627

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

RCL
Ronald Laneau
Examiner
Art Unit 3627

rl